Honorable Stan Heffner Superintendent of Public Instruction Ohio Department of Education 25 South Front Street Columbus, OH 43215

## Dear Superintendent Heffner:

I am pleased to approve Ohio's request for ESEA flexibility, subject to Ohio's meeting the condition described below. I congratulate you on submitting a request that demonstrates Ohio's commitment to improving academic achievement and the quality of instruction for all of the State's elementary and secondary school students.

Last fall, the U.S. Department of Education (Department) offered States the opportunity to request flexibility from certain requirements of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA), as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001, in exchange for rigorous and comprehensive plans designed to improve educational outcomes for all students, close achievement gaps, increase equity, and improve the quality of instruction. This flexibility is intended to support the groundbreaking reforms already taking place in many States and districts that we believe hold promise for improving outcomes for students. We are encouraged by the innovative thinking and strong commitment to improving achievement for all students that are evident in Ohio's request.

Our decision to approve Ohio's request for ESEA flexibility, subject to Ohio's meeting the condition described below, is based on our determination that the request meets the four principles articulated in the Department's September 23, 2011, document titled *ESEA Flexibility*. In particular, Ohio has: (1) demonstrated that it has college- and career-ready expectations for all students; (2) developed, and has a high-quality plan to implement, a system of differentiated recognition, accountability, and support for all Title I districts and schools in the State; (3) committed to developing, adopting, piloting, and implementing teacher and principal evaluation and support systems that support student achievement; and (4) provided an assurance that it will evaluate and, based on that evaluation, revise its administrative requirements to reduce duplication and unnecessary burden on districts and schools. Our decision is also based on Ohio's assurance that it will meet these four principles by implementing the high-quality plans and other elements described in its request and in accordance with the required timelines. In approving Ohio's request, we have taken into consideration the feedback we received from the panel of peer experts and Department staff who reviewed Ohio's request, as well as Ohio's revisions to its request in response to that feedback.

The waivers that comprise ESEA flexibility are being granted to Ohio pursuant to my authority in section 9401 of the ESEA. A complete list of the statutory provisions being waived is set forth in the table enclosed with this letter. Consistent with section 9401(d)(1) of the ESEA, I am granting waivers of these provisions through the end of the 2012-2013 school year. If Ohio meets the condition described below prior to the end of the 2012-2013 school year, I will extend the approval of these waivers through the end of the 2013-2014 school year, at which time Ohio may request an extension of these waivers.

In the coming days, you will receive a letter from the Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education containing additional information regarding Ohio's implementation of ESEA flexibility, as well as information regarding monitoring and reporting. Please note that the Department will closely monitor Ohio's implementation of the plans, systems, and interventions detailed in its request in order to ensure that all students continue to receive the assistance and supports needed to improve their academic achievement.

Our decision to place a condition on the approval of Ohio's request is based on the fact that Ohio will use the 2012–2013 school year to study and refine its A-F grading system, which will be an integral part of its new differentiated recognition, accountability, and support system. However, we have determined that Ohio is able to fully meet the ESEA flexibility principles in the 2012–2013 school year while it continues to finalize the A-F grading system.

To receive approval to implement ESEA flexibility through the end of the 2013–2014 school year, Ohio must submit to the Department for review and approval an amended request incorporating the final version of the A-F grading system, including by attaching to the amended request any technical documentation, legislation, administrative rules, and other relevant information. If Ohio does not submit for review an amended request that includes the final version of the A-F grading system or does not receive approval of the amended request, the waivers being granted to Ohio through ESEA flexibility will expire at the end of the 2012–2013 school year, and Ohio and its districts will be required to immediately resume complying with all ESEA requirements.

Ohio continues to have an affirmative responsibility to ensure that it and its districts are in compliance with Federal civil rights laws that prohibit discrimination based on race, color, national origin, sex, disability, and age in their implementation of ESEA flexibility as well as their implementation of all other Federal education programs. These laws include Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and requirements under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

A copy of Ohio's approved request for ESEA flexibility will be posted on the Department's Web site at: http://www.ed.gov/esea/flexibility/requests. Again, I congratulate you on the approval of Ohio's request for ESEA flexibility and thank you for the work that you and your staff have done. I look forward to continuing to support you as you implement Ohio's ESEA flexibility

request and work to improve the quality of instruction and academic achievement for all students.

Sincerely,

 $/_{\rm S}/$ 

Arne Duncan

Enclosure

cc: Honorable John R. Kasich

Michael Sawyers, Deputy Superintendent

Provisions Waived Through Approval of Ohio's Request for ESEA Flexibility					
ESEA SECTION <sup>1</sup>	DESCRIPTION	Notes			
STATE-LEVEL I	STATE-LEVEL RESERVATION FOR SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT				
1003(a)	Requires State educational agency	The reservation is not waived; SEA			
	(SEA) to reserve 4 percent of its Title	may distribute section 1003(a) funds			
	I, Part A allocation for school	to LEAs for use in priority and focus			
	improvement activities and to	schools			
	distribute at least 95 percent to local				
	educational agencies (LEAs) for use in				
	Title I schools in improvement, corrective action, and restructuring				
SCHOOL IMPRO	OVEMENT GRANTS				
1003(g)	Requires SEA to award School	Waiver permits SEA to award SIG			
1005(5)	Improvement Grant (SIG) funds to	funds to an LEA to implement one of			
	LEAs with Title I schools in	the four SIG models in any priority			
	improvement, corrective action, or	school			
	restructuring				
2013-2014 TIM	ELINE				
1111(b)(2)(E)	Establishes requirements for setting	Waiver permits SEA to set new			
- (H)	annual measurable objectives (AMOs)	ambitious but achievable AMOs			
	ARLY PROGRESS (AYP) DETERMINATION	NS			
1116(a)(1)(A)-	Requires SEA and its LEAs to make				
(B) and	AYP determinations for LEAs and				
1116(c)(1)(A)	schools, respectively				
	ICT ALLOCATIONS	Weissen nomeita LEA to gome with			
1113(a)(3)-(4) and (c)(1)	Requires LEA to rank and serve eligible schools according to poverty	Waiver permits LEA to serve with Title I funds a Title I-eligible high			
	and allocate Title I funds to schools in	school with a graduation rate below			
	rank order of poverty	60 percent that the SEA identified as a			
	raine order or poverty	priority school even if that school does			
		not rank sufficiently high to be served			
		based solely on the school's poverty			
		rate			
SCHOOLWIDE POVERTY THRESHOLD					
1114(a)(1)	Requires 40 percent poverty threshold	Waiver permits LEA with less than			
	to be eligible to operate a schoolwide	40 percent poverty to operate a			
	program	schoolwide program in a priority			
		school or a focus school that is			
		implementing a schoolwide			
		intervention			

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  The corresponding regulations that implement these statutory provisions are also waived. Any ESEA statutory provision not listed in this table is not waived.

Provisions Waived Through Approval of Ohio's				
Request for ESEA Flexibility SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT REQUIREMENTS				
(except	improvement, corrective action, and	permit a child who has transferred to		
(b)(13))	restructuring with corresponding	remain in the choice school through		
	requirements	the highest grade in the school, is not		
		waived		
LEA IMPROVE	MENT REQUIREMENTS			
1116(c)(3) and	Requires SEA to identify LEAs for			
(5) - (11)	improvement and corrective action			
	with corresponding requirements			
1116(e)	Requires SEA and LEAs to take a			
	variety of actions to offer			
	supplemental educational services to			
	eligible students in schools in			
	improvement, corrective action,			
	restructuring			
RESERVATION I	FOR STATE ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT A	WARDS PROGRAM		
1117(b)(1)(B)	Limits the schools that can receive	Waiver allows funds reserved for State		
	Title I, Part A funds reserved for State	awards program to go to any reward		
	awards program	school		
HIGHLY QUALI	FIED TEACHER PLAN ACCOUNTABILITY	AGREEMENT REQUIREMENT		
2141(c)	Requires SEA/LEA agreement on use	Waiver includes existing agreements		
	of Title II, Part A funds for LEAs that	and applies to restrictions on hiring		
	miss AYP for three years and fail to	paraprofessionals under Title I, Part A		
	make progress toward reaching annual			
	objectives for highly qualified teachers			
LIMITATIONS O	N TRANSFERABILITY OF FUNDS			
6123(a)	Limits to 50 percent the amount an	Waiver applies to the percentage		
	SEA may transfer from a covered	limitation, thereby permitting SEA to		
	program into another covered program	transfer up to 100 percent from a		
	or into Title I, Part A	covered program		
6123(b)(1)	Limits to 50 percent or 30 percent the	Waiver applies to the percentage		
	amount an LEA may transfer from a	limitations as well as to the		
	covered program into another covered	restrictions on the use of transferred		
	program or into Title I, Part A	funds		
6123(d)	Requires modification of plans and			
	notice of transfer			
6123(e)(1)	Transferred funds are subject to the	Waiver permits an LEA to exclude		
	requirements of the program to which	funds transferred into Title I, Part A		
	they are transferred	from the base in calculating any set-		
		aside percentages		

Provisions Waived Through Approval of Ohio's Request for ESEA Flexibility RURAL SCHOOLS				
6224(e)	Requires SEA to permit LEAs that fail to make AYP to continue to receive a Small, Rural School Achievement grant only if LEA uses funds to carry out ESEA section 1116			
21 <sup>ST</sup> CENTURY COMMUNITY LEARNING CENTERS (CCLC)				
4201(b)(1)(A), 4204(b)(2)(A)	Requires a community learning center to provide activities during non-school hours or periods when school is not in session	Waiver permits an eligible entity to provide 21 <sup>st</sup> CCLC activities to support expanded learning time during an expanded school day, week, or year in addition to activities during non-school hours or periods when school is not in session		